



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/688,818	10/20/2003	Frank Zhishi Xia	PLI-1157	2388
24984	7590	08/14/2006	EXAMINER	
ALBERT O COTA 5460 WHITE OAK AVE SUITE A-331 ENCINO, CA 91316				NICOLAS, FREDERICK C
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
				3754

DATE MAILED: 08/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/688,818	XIA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Frederick C. Nicolas	3754	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 July 2006.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-11 and 13-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 12 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  - a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
    1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
    2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
    3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Election/Restrictions***

1. Applicant's election with traverse of species A: Figures 1-2, and Subspecies E: Figure 6, claims 1-7,12, in the reply filed on 7/20/2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the restriction requirement be withdrawn upon consideration. This is not found persuasive because the different species of claimed invention are clearly not related in terms of their modes of operation.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 8-11,13-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 7/20/2006.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1,5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Farris et al. 5,538,506.

Farris et al. disclose a pre-filled disposable pipette (10), which comprises a hollow bulb (30) containing a medicinal product and having an upper end and a lower end further having a substantially centered opening as seen in Figure 1, a medication

transfer tube (14) having an upper end that interfaces with the centered opening on the hollow bulb, and a lower end, a medication fill tube (80) having an upper end and a lower tip, a break-away notch (50) located between the lower end of the medication transfer tube and the upper end of the medication fill tube, wherein when the notch is caused to be twisted, the medication fill tube breaks off from the medication transfer tube, allowing the medicinal product in the hollow bulb to be dispensed from the lower end of the medication transfer tube (col. 7, ll. 12-61), and means for sealing (N) the lower tip of the medication transfer tube (col. 8, ll. 31-38), a flat finger-grasping area (2,24).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Farris et al. 5,538,506 in view of Zhang et al. 6,457,612.

Farris et al. have taught all the features of the claimed invention except that the hollow bulb having a primary grasping tab. Zhang et al. teach the use of a hollow bulb (12) having a primary grasping tab (32) with an upper edge (36) and a lower edge (34), a secondary grasping tab (38), a support tab (52).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teaching of Zhang et al. onto the pipette of Farris et al.

by providing all three tabs of Zhang et al. onto the pipette body of Farris et al., in order to provide a medical practitioner a mean to conveniently carry the pre-filed pipette without inadvertently releasing any of the medicinal product, as taught by Zhang et al. in (col. 2, ll. 63-67).

***Allowable Subject Matter***

7. Claim 12 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

***Conclusion***

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. St. Amand 4,779,768, Davis 3,785,366, Zhang et al. 6,357,626, Millner et al. 5,125,544, Chikering et al. 4,875,602, St. Amand 4,212,204, Hoyt 6,241,124 and Riner 5,261,881 disclose other types of disposable pipette.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frederick C. Nicolas whose telephone number is (571)-272-4931. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver, can be reached on 571-272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Art Unit: 3754

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FN  
August 4, 2006

 8/4/06

Frederick C. Nicolas  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 3754